3:18-CV-05330-JD

Case 3:18-cv-05330-JD Document 123-1 Filed 08/14/20 Page 11 of 119

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement is entered into on this 4th day of August, 2020, between (a) on behalf of the class, named Plaintiffs Linda Parker Pennington and Greg Pennington; Theodore Ellington and Victoria Trusty; Michael Lin; Brian Tracey; Salustiano Ribeiro; Christine Farrell, Richard De Francesco and David Castano; Sally Shi; Bingying Wang; Gulnur Tumbat; Paloma Dudum-Maya and Melissa Dudum-Maya; Christophe Crombez; Oleksandr Yegorov; John Wesley Darden, Jr.; Jason L. Fried; Thomas Lupton; Elisa-Maria Torres; Fuching Chi; Imin Lee and Shili Lee; Karla Bravo; Jonah Hershowitz; Andrew Kaplan; Jin Yun and Francis John Russo; Ramiro Castro and Irma Frias-Castro, individually and as trustees of The Castro Family Trust; Jinpeng Zhu, Chenlu Zhu. Liang Xu, and Weili Cao; Sean La Rrett and Jewel Robinson; Duan Stefanie Yang, individually and as trustee of the Duan Stefanie Yang Revocable Trust; Theresa Duncan; Anirvan Raja Datta; Jengjia Chen; Jorge Hidrobo and Lien Chi; Cory Groom and Cicely Tan; Riley Smith and Heather Watkins; Honoria Baxter; Jedidiah Burack and Untray Brown; Eric S. Vanderpool and Joseph Fraga; Shannon R. Hetrick; Matthew Vo and Manda Choi; Anil Vittal and Janjri Desai; Meng Huang and Siyun Wang; Wilfred Yun and Kwok Yee Karina Yip; Brian Yee; Brett Hanlon and Anthony Booth; Casey Woo and Lara Woo; David Yu; Jerrold Polansky; Christopher M. H. Davidson; Brian Truong; Wontaek Na and So Yeon; John D. Choi; Nicholas Hayman; Cara Uribe and Johnatan Uribe; Conor Mulherin and Malia Mulherin; David Springer and Anna Aldrete Springer, individually and as trustees of the Aldrete Springer Family Living Trust; David Shin and Serena Shin (née Quan), individually and as trustees of the Shin Family Trust; David Tsai a/k/a Yun-Chung Tsai; Desmond Chan; Duy Minh Nguyen and Vendy Kong; Faiz Sadeq and Afsheen Ahmad, individually and as trustees of the S&A Living Trust; Francis Zamora and Christine Omata; Gabriel Gagner; Gregory Coussa and Jaclynn Coussa (née Balas); Heda Koh and Steve Kim; Howard Yung and Catherine Chu; Jun Ja Ha and Hye Sook Um; Kenneth Kim and Juyoung Kim; Mathieu Stemmelen and Monica Padilla-Stemmelen; Michael Spencer and Sallie Spencer; Rahim Ibrahim and Judy Jen; Naval Shah; Paul Yue and Janet Yue, individually and as trustees of the Yue Family Trust; Ram Fenster and Mary Jane McGeoy; Richard Beach and Joan Beach; Taisen Lin and Sheng Tuan Lin; Thomas Kripinski and Mary

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	Ann Kripinski; Timothy Glanville; Xiaolu Li; Salila Agbayani and Edgar Agbayani; Lama				
2	Nachman and Ramez Nachman; Alexander Deschamps and Jessie Deschamps, and (b)				
3	Defendants Lennar Corporation, HPS Development Co., L.P., HPSl Block 50 LLC, HPSl Block				
4	51 LLC, HPS1 Block 53 LLC, HPS1 Block 54 LLC, HPS1 Block 56/57 LLC, Five Point				
5	Holdings LLC, and Emile Haddad, by and through their respective counsel.				
6	I. DEFINITIONS				
7	As used in this Settlement Agreement, the following terms have the following meanings:				
8	1. "Attorneys' Fees and Expenses" means such funds as may be awarded by the				
9	Court to Class Counsel to compensate Class Counsel for their fees and expenses in connection				
10	with the Parcel A Action and the Settlement.				
11	2. "Class Counsel" means Joseph W. Cotchett, Anne Marie Murphy, and Donald J.				
12	Magilligan of Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP; Bradley R. Bowles and Jonathan W. Lee of				
13	Bowles & Verna LLP; Eric H. Gibbs, Andre M. Mura, Aaron Blumenthal of the Gibbs Law				
14	Group LLP.				
15	3. "Class Notice" shall mean the notice provided to the Settlement Class as directed				
16	by the Court.				
17	4. "Complaints" shall mean, collectively, the initial, First Amended, and Second				
18	Amended Complaints filed by Named Plaintiffs on July 24, 2018, November 18, 2019, and				
19	February 28, 2020, respectively (N.D. Cal. Case No. 3:18-cv-05330-JD, Dkt. Nos. 1-1, 64, 93).				
20	5. "Court" means the United States District Court for the Northern District of				
21	California and the Judge assigned to the Parcel A Action (the Honorable James Donato).				
22	6. "Defense Counsel" means the law firms of O'Melveny & Myers, LLP, Alston &				
23	Bird, LLP, Shannon P. Jones Law Group, Inc., and Jones Day, LLP.				
24	7. "Effective Date of Settlement" means the first date after: (1) the Court enters the				
25	Final Order and Final Judgment; and (2) all appellate rights with respect to said Final Order and				
26	Final Judgment have expired or been exhausted in such a manner as to affirm the Final Order and				
27	Final Judgment.				

27

- 8. "Fairness Hearing" means the hearing that is to take place after the entry of the Preliminary Approval Order and after the Notice Date for purposes of: (a) entering the Final Order and Final Judgment and dismissing the Parcel A Action with prejudice as to the Lennar and Five Point Defendants; (b) determining whether the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate; (c) determining whether, under California Code of Civil Procedure § 877 and 877.6, the settlement between the Settling Parties is in good faith; (d) ruling upon an application by Class Counsel for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses; and (e) entering any final order awarding Attorneys' Fees and Expenses. The Parties shall request that the Court schedule the Fairness Hearing for a date that is in compliance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1715(d).
- 9. "Final Order and Final Judgment" means the Court's order and judgment fully and finally approving the Settlement Agreement and dismissing the Parcel A Action with prejudice as to the Lennar and Five Point Defendants.
- 10. "Lennar and Five Point Defendants" shall mean and include Lennar Corporation, HPS Development Co., L.P., HPSI Block 50 LLC, HPSI Block 51 LLC, HPSI Block 53 LLC, HPS1 Block 54 LLC, HPS1 Block 55/56 LLC, Five Point Holdings LLC, and Emile Haddad, collectively.
- 11. "Named Plaintiffs" shall mean Linda Parker Pennington and Greg Pennington; Theodore Ellington and Victoria Trusty; Michael Lin; Brian Tracey; Salustiano Ribeiro; Christine Farrell, Richard De Francesco and David Castano; Sally Shi; Bingying Wang; Gulnur Tumbat; Paloma Dudum-Maya and Melissa Dudum-Maya; Christophe Crombez; Oleksandr Yegorov; John Wesley Darden, Jr.; Jason L. Fried; Thomas Lupton; Elisa-Maria Torres; Fuching Chi; Imin Lee and Shili Lee; Karla Bravo; Jonah Hershowitz; Andrew Kaplan; Jin Yun and Francis John Russo; Ramiro Castro and Irma Frias-Castro, individually and as trustees of The Castro Family Trust; Jinpeng Zhu, Chenlu Zhu. Liang Xu, and Weili Cao; Sean La Rrett and Jewel Robinson; Duan Stefanie Yang, individually and as trustee of the Duan Stefanie Yang Revocable Trust; Theresa Duncan; Anirvan Raja Datta; Jengjia Chen; Jorge Hidrobo and Lien Chi; Cory Groom and Cicely Tan; Riley Smith and Heather Watkins; Honoria Baxter; Jedidiah Burack and Untray Brown; Eric S. Vanderpool and Joseph Fraga; Shannon R. Hetrick; Matthew Vo and Manda SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT - 3 -

1	Choi; Anil Vittal and Janjri Desai; Meng Huang and Siyun Wang; Wilfred Yun and Kwok Yee
2	Karina Yip; Brian Yee; Brett Hanlon and Anthony Booth; Casey Woo and Lara Woo; David Yu;
3	Jerrold Polansky; Christopher M. H. Davidson; Brian Truong; Wontaek Na and So Yeon; John D.
4	Choi; Nicholas Hayman; Cara Uribe and Johnatan Uribe; Conor Mulherin and Malia Mulherin;
5	David Springer and Anna Aldrete Springer, individually and as trustees of the Aldrete Springer
6	Family Living Trust; David Shin and Serena Shin (née Quan), individually and as trustees of the
7	Shin Family Trust; David Tsai a/k/a Yun-Chung Tsai; Desmond Chan; Duy Minh Nguyen and
8	Vendy Kong; Faiz Sadeq and Afsheen Ahmad, individually and as trustees of the S&A Living
9	Trust; Francis Zamora and Christine Omata; Gabriel Gagner; Gregory Coussa and Jaclynn
10	Coussa (née Balas); Heda Koh and Steve Kim; Howard Yung and Catherine Chu; Jun Ja Ha and
11	Hye Sook Um; Kenneth Kim and Juyoung Kim; Mathieu Stemmelen and Monica Padilla-
12	Stemmelen; Michael Spencer and Sallie Spencer; Rahim Ibrahim and Judy Jen; Naval Shah; Paul
13	Yue and Janet Yue, individually and as trustees of the Yue Family Trust; Ram Fenster and Mary
14	Jane McGeoy; Richard Beach and Joan Beach; Taisen Lin and Sheng Tuan Lin; Thomas
15	Kripinski and Mary Ann Kripinski; Timothy Glanville; Xiaolu Li; Salila Agbayani and Edgar
16	Agbayani; Lama Nachman and Ramez Nachman; and Alexander Deschamps and Jessie
17	Deschamps.

- 12. "Notice Date" shall mean the first date upon which the Class Notice is disseminated. The Notice Date shall be no later than thirty (30) days after the entry of the Preliminary Approval Order.
 - 13. "Parcel A" shall mean those areas of land defined in **Exhibit A**.
- 14. "Parcel A Action" shall mean *Pennington et al. v. Tetra Tech, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 3:18-cv-05330-JD (N.D. Cal.).
- 15. "Preliminary Approval Order" shall mean the order preliminarily approving the Settlement and proposed Class Notice and notice plan.
- 16. "Qualified Settlement Fund" shall have the meaning established by Treasury Regulation § 1.468B-1.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 17. "Release" shall mean the release and waiver set forth in Section V.H of this Settlement Agreement and in the Final Order and Final Judgment.
- 18. "Released Claims" shall mean those claims released pursuant to Sections V.A-H of this Settlement Agreement.
 - 19. "Released Parties" shall include and mean:
- (i) The Lennar and Five Point Defendants, and each of their subsidiaries and affiliates and all of their respective officers, directors, owners, representatives, partners, members, managers, agents, heirs, guardians, executors, administrators, trustees, beneficiaries, successors, consultants, employees, assigns, and insurers; and
- (ii) All of the Lennar and Five Point Defendants' past, present, and future subsidiaries and affiliates and all of their respective officers, directors, owners, representatives, partners, members, managers, agents, successors, consultants, employees, assigns, heirs, guardians, executors, administrators, trustees, beneficiaries, and insurers.

Notwithstanding the aforementioned definition of "Released Parties," "Released Parties" does not include Tetra Tech, Inc., or any of Tetra Tech, Inc.'s subsidiaries or affiliates or any of their respective officers, directors, owners, representatives, partners, members, managers, agents, heirs, guardians, executors, administrators, trustees, beneficiaries, successors, consultants, employees, assigns, and insurers, including but not limited to, Tetra Tech EC, Inc.

- 20. "Releasing Parties" means Named Plaintiffs and all Settlement Class members.
- 21. "Settlement Agreement" means this Settlement Agreement and its Exhibits, including all subsequent amendments agreed to in writing by the Parties and any exhibits to such amendments.
- 22. "Settlement Administration Expenses" means any and all sums charged, invoiced, or incurred by the Settlement Administrator with respect to this Settlement Agreement, excluding the costs and expenses that are associated with disseminating the Class Notice for this Settlement Agreement.

- 23. "Settlement Administrator" means the qualified third-party administrator and agent agreed to by the Parties and approved and appointed by the Court in the Preliminary Approval Order to administer the Settlement, including providing the Class Notice.
- 24. "Settlement Class" means, collectively, all individuals or entities who purchased or obtained title to one or more units at Parcel A between 2014 and the date on which this Settlement Agreement is executed by all Parties. Excluded from this class are Defendants, their affiliates and subsidiaries, and their officers, directors, partners, employees, and agents; class counsel, employees of class counsel's firms, and class counsel's immediate family members; defense counsel, their employees, and their immediate family members; and any judicial officer who considers or renders a decision or ruling in this case, their staff, and their immediate family members.
- 25. "Settlement Class Member(s)" means any member of the Settlement Class who does not elect exclusion or opt out from the Settlement Class pursuant to terms and conditions for exclusion set out in Section V.D.2 of this Settlement Agreement and the Class Notice. "Settlement Class Member(s)" does not include persons (a) whose Class Notice, even after resubmission by the Settlement Administrator, are returned as undeliverable, and (b) who do not cash the check for their Settlement Share pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement.
- 26. "Settlement Fund" means Six Million, Three Hundred Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$6,300,000.00) that will be paid by or on behalf of the Released Parties, pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement, in consideration for the release of all claims as provided in this Settlement Agreement.
- 27. "Settlement Fund Balance" means the remainder of the Settlement Fund after the attorney's fees and expenses are deducted.
- 28. "Settlement Share" means the amount of each Settlement Class Member's share of the Settlement Fund Balance.
- 29. "Settling Parties" means the Named Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class, and the Lennar and Five Point Defendants.

II. <u>RECITALS</u>

WHEREAS, Named Plaintiffs Linda Parker Pennington and Greg Pennington filed in the Superior Court of California in and for the County of San Francisco a complaint against Tetra Tech, Inc., Tetra Tech EC, Inc., Bill Dougherty, and the Lennar and Five Point Defendants on July 24, 2018, asserting claims based on alleged permanent and private nuisance, unfair and unlawful competition, fraud and false advertising, negligence, and negligent misrepresentation (*Pennington v. Tetra Tech Inc.*, et al., No. CGC-18-568352) ("*Pennington*");

WHEREAS, Named Plaintiffs' Complaint alleged that the Lennar and Five Point Defendants failed to disclose material facts regarding the full nature and extent of environmental contamination at Parcel A and the surrounding HPNS parcels, and misled consumers as to the true nature of the value and safety of properties they purchased at Parcel A, a portion of the former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard ("HPNS");

WHEREAS, on August 29, 2018, Tetra Tech EC, Inc. filed a notice of removal in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (ECF No. 1);

WHEREAS, Named Plaintiffs filed a number of related actions in the Superior Court of California in and for the County of San Francisco and in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, listed in **Exhibit B** hereto, that made substantially the same allegations as *Pennington* (the "Related Actions");

WHEREAS Tetra Tech also removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California those Related Actions filed in the Superior Court of California in and for the County of San Francisco;

WHEREAS *Pennington* and the Related Actions were assigned to United States District Judge James Donato (the "Court"), who denied remand of *Pennington* and the Related Actions;

WHEREAS, on November 18, 2019, certain of the Named Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint (*Pennington et al. v. Tetra Tech Inc., et al.*, First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 64), the "FAC") naming all Plaintiffs in the Related Actions filed to date and adding class action allegations seeking to certify a class of all owners of property at Parcel A, whereupon the Court

administratively closed the Related Actions and *Pennington* and the Related Actions were consolidated under the FAC (the "Parcel A Action");

WHEREAS, Class Counsel, led by Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP, conducted a thorough investigation and evaluation of the facts and law related to the claims asserted to determine how best to serve the interests of the Named Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class, including through numerous open records requests to state and federal agencies involved in the remediation at HPNS; through review of tens of thousands of records released in connection with those requests; and through consultation with economic experts on the effect of the alleged material misrepresentations and omissions on the value of Named Plaintiffs' homes;

WHEREAS, on February 28, 2020, certain of the Named Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Class Action Complaint (ECF No. 93), adding additional Named Plaintiffs and HPS1 Block 56/57 LLC of the Lennar and Five Point Defendants;

WHEREAS, the Named Plaintiffs who are plaintiffs in the Pennington Action and the Lennar and Five Point Defendants desire, through this Settlement Agreement and proposed class action settlement to resolve the claims against the Lennar and Five Point Defendants in the *Pennington* Action.

WHEREAS, from August 2019 through February 19, 2020, Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy LLP conducted arm's-length negotiations concerning a proposed class-wide settlement under the supervision of mediators Hon. Daniel H. Weinstein (ret.) and Lizbeth Hasse, Esq., Gibbs Law Group and Bowles & Verna joined these discussions in 2020, and on February 19, 2020 reached an agreement in principle to settle the Parcel A Action subject to approval of the Court;

WHEREAS, Named Plaintiffs and their counsel recognize and acknowledge the expense and length of continued proceedings necessary to prosecute the claims against the Lennar and FivePoint Defendants through trial, appeal, and ancillary actions. Named Plaintiffs, and their counsel, have also taken into account the uncertain outcome and risk of any litigation, as well as the difficulties and delay inherent in such litigation, and have concluded that the settlement set forth in this Settlement Agreement confers substantial benefits upon the Class Members. Named Plaintiffs, and their counsel, are also mindful of the inherent challenges of proof and that the

Lennar and Five Point Defendants may have compelling defenses to the alleged claims. Based upon their evaluation, they have determined that the settlement set forth in this Settlement Agreement provides a just, fair, and favorable recovery for the Settlement Class, and is in the best interest of the Settlement Class;

WHEREAS, the Lennar and Five Point Defendants have denied and continue to dispute all of the claims and contentions alleged in the Complaints in the Parcel A Action, and deny any and all allegations of wrongdoing, fault, liability or damage of any kind to Named Plaintiffs and the putative class. The Lennar and Five Point Defendants further deny that Named Plaintiffs' claims in the Parcel A Action are suitable for class action treatment outside of a class settlement context. The Lennar and Five Point Defendants desire to settle the Parcel A Action upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Settlement Agreement solely to eliminate the uncertainties, burden, expense, and delay of further protracted litigation, arbitration, or other legal proceedings;

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the Settling Parties, subject to the approval of the Court, that the Parcel A Action and the Released Claims shall be fully and finally compromised, settled, and released, and that the claims against the Released Parties shall be dismissed with prejudice subject to and upon the terms and conditions described below.

III. SETTLEMENT CONSIDERATION

In consideration of the Release provided for in Section V.H and the dismissal of the Parcel A Action with prejudice, the Released Parties agree to provide the following consideration to the Settlement Class under the terms of this Settlement Agreement:

A. Monetary Consideration

In consideration for the Release contained in this Settlement Agreement, and without admitting liability for any of the alleged acts or omissions, and in the interest of minimizing the costs inherent in any litigation, the total sum of the Settlement Fund (i.e., Six Million, Three Hundred Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$6,300,000.00)) will be paid by or on behalf of the Released Parties. Except as is required for funding the Settlement Administration Expenses

pursuant to section V.C. below, the Parties agree that the Settlement Fund is the full extent of the Released Parties' payment obligation under this Settlement Agreement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Released Parties' obligation to pay the Settlement Fund shall be subject to a Preliminary Approval Order, the Final Order and Final Judgment, and the Effective Date of Settlement. No later than fourteen (14) days after the Effective Date of Settlement, an amount equal to the Settlement Fund will be paid by or on behalf of the Released Parties into an escrow bank account (the "Escrow Account"), to be created and administered by the Settlement Administrator pursuant to the terms of this Settlement Agreement. The Escrow Account shall be held in a Qualified Settlement Fund in interest bearing bank account deposits with commercial banks with excess capital exceeding One Hundred Million Dollars (\$100,000,000.00), with a rating of "A" or higher by S&P and insured by the FDIC. All funds in the Escrow Account shall be deemed to be in the custody of the Court and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court until such time as the funds shall be distributed or returned to the persons paying the same pursuant to this Settlement Agreement and/or further order of the Court. Interest earned on money in the Escrow Account, less any taxes owed thereon (if any), will be added to the Settlement Fund for the benefit of the Class, unless the terms of the Settlement Agreement are not completely fulfilled, in which case all funds in the Escrow Account, including interest, shall be returned to the Released Parties' payor.

B. <u>Distribution of the Settlement Fund</u>

Subject to the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Fund shall be used for the payment of: (a) the Settlement Share to Class Members; (b) the Settlement Administration Expenses if they exceed \$50,000; (c) the attorneys' fees and expenses to Class Counsel approved by the Court; and (d) *cy pres* if there are residual amounts.

Any checks that remain uncashed more than 180 days after mailing shall be void and any remaining amounts shall be redistributed to the Settlement Class Members or subject to *cy pres* distribution as described in this section. In the event that funds remain due to uncashed checks, and the Class Administrator determines that the cost of further *pro rata* distributions will waste more than half of the remaining funds, then the relevant sums shall be distributed by the Claims

Administrator to one or more qualified 501(c)(3) charities jointly chosen by Defendants and Settlement Class Counsel and approved by the Court in accordance with 9th Circuit standards. The Parties agree that, subject to Court approval, the following 501(c)(3) charities should receive *cy pres* funds, if such funds are available: Shipyard Trust for the Arts.

Any individual who does not receive a Class Notice, or does not timely receive a Class Notice but who claims that he or she should be a Class Member, must present evidence and information demonstrating that he or she should be included in the settlement. Such information shall be submitted under penalty of perjury and shall include the claimant's full name, address, and email and telephone number, the common address of the unit the claimant owns/owned on Parcel A, the date of purchase, the date of subsequent sale (if any), and the name that is/was on the deed for the unit the claimant owns/owned on Parcel A. If the Parties agree that the evidence and information is credible, and/or if the Court authorizes the individual's participation in the settlement, then that individual shall be permitted to participate in the settlement. As necessary, the Claims Administrator may use funds that would otherwise be available for *cy pres* to make payments to such individuals. However, no such claims shall be considered more than 180 days after payments are initially mailed.

IV. CLASS CERTIFICATION FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES ONLY

Solely for the purposes of the settlement of this Action, the Parties agree to the certification of the Settlement Class. Plaintiffs shall make this request for certification to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, currently assigned to the Honorable James Donato; and Class Counsel shall request the Court to enter an order, which, among other things, certifies the Settlement Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in this paragraph. The Released Parties preserve all arguments that they may have that certification of a class (other than on a settlement basis) would not be possible because individual issues would predominate, and because the purchase and sale agreements and covenants, conditions and restrictions associated with the purchase of homes on Parcel A, a portion of HPNS, otherwise require individual arbitrations and prohibit bringing the Parcel A Action as a class action.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

In the event this Settlement Agreement and the settlement provided for herein is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled, or fails to become effective for any reason whatsoever, this class certification, to which the parties have stipulated solely for the purpose of the settlement of the Parcel A Action, shall be null and void and the Parties will revert to their respective positions immediately prior to the execution of this Settlement Agreement. Under no circumstances may this Settlement Agreement be used as an admission or as evidence concerning the appropriateness of class certification in these or any other actions against the Released Parties.

V. SETTLEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS

A. Preliminary Approval of Settlement

Promptly after execution of this Settlement Agreement, Class Counsel shall present this Settlement Agreement to the Court, along with a motion requesting that the Court issue a Preliminary Approval Order which shall include, among other things:

- 1. Preliminary approval of the settlement memorialized in this Settlement Agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate;
- 2. Approval of the Class Notice for distribution to the Settlement Class;
- 3. A direction to the Settlement Administrator to disseminate the Class Notice in the form approved by the Court to the Settlement Class;
- 4. A direction that each potential Settlement Class Member who wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class must respond to the Class Notice in writing in accordance with the instructions set forth in the Class Notice and that their responses must be received by the date set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order;
- 5. A finding that the Class Notice constitutes the best practicable notice under the circumstances, including individual notice to everyone in the Settlement Class who can be identified with reasonable effort, and constitutes valid, due, and sufficient notice to the Settlement Class in full compliance with the requirements of applicable law, including the due process clause of the United States Constitution;
- 6. A direction that, pending final determination of the joint application for approval of this Settlement Agreement, all proceedings in this Parcel A Action with respect to the Lennar

and Five Point Defendants other than settlement approval proceedings shall be stayed and all Settlement Class Members shall be enjoined from commencing or prosecuting any action, suit, proceeding, claim, or cause of action in any court or before any tribunal to the extent barred by the Released Claims;

- 7. A direction that any Settlement Class Member will be bound by the Final Order and Final Judgment;
- 8. The scheduling of a final hearing to determine whether this Settlement Agreement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate and whether the proposed Final Order and Final Judgment should be entered (the Fairness Hearing);
- 9. A direction that the Settlement Administrator shall tabulate communications from prospective Settlement Class Members asking to be excluded from the Settlement Class and shall report the names and addresses of such entities and natural persons to the Court and to Class Counsel no less than seven days before the Fairness Hearing;
- 10. A direction that the Settling Parties shall file a motion for determination, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §877 and 877.6, whether the settlement between the Settling Parties is made in good faith;
- 11. A direction that Class Counsel shall file an application for Attorney's Fees and Expenses (which may be part of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval) at least fourteen days prior to the date set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order as the deadline for the objections; that Class Counsel shall file any supplemental brief in support of final approval of the Settlement Agreement no later than seven days prior to the Fairness Hearing; and that the Court shall determine at the Fairness Hearing in what amount Attorneys' Fees and Expenses should be awarded to Class Counsel pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement;
- 12. A direction that any Settlement Class Member who wishes to object to the proposed Settlement Agreement, the proposed Final Order and Judgment or the application by Class Counsel for Attorney's Fees and Expenses must file and serve such objections no later than the date set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, which shall be approximately

one month before the Fairness Hearing, together with copies of all papers in support of his or her position as provided in Section V.D.1 of the Settlement Agreement. The Class Notice shall state that the Court will not consider the objections of any Settlement Class Member who has not properly served copies of his or her objections on a timely basis or complied with the requirements of Section V.D.1 of the Settlement Agreement.

B. Notice to Attorneys General

In compliance with the attorney general notification provision of the Class Action

In compliance with the attorney general notification provision of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, within ten days after the motion for Preliminary Approval

Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, within ten days after the motion for Preliminary Approval Order is filed, the Settlement Administrator shall provide notice of this proposed Settlement to the Attorney General of the United States, and to the attorneys general of each state or territory in which a Settlement Class Member resides. The notice shall be prepared by the Lennar and Five Point Defendants and will include (1) a copy of the Complaints, (2) a copy of this Settlement Agreement and its exhibits, and (3) a reasonable estimate of the number of Settlement Class Members in each state/territory and their percentage representation in the Settlement Class. The Lennar and Five Point Defendants will provide copies of such notifications to Class Counsel at the time of their submission to the attorneys general.

C. <u>Notice to Settlement Class Members</u>

The Lennar and Five Point Defendants will direct the Settlement Administrator to send via first class mail the Class Notice to the Settlement Class's addresses currently on file with the Lennar and Five Point Defendants and, to the extent it is different or not on file with the Lennar and Five Point Defendants, to the Settlement Class's last known addresses. The Settlement Administrator will run a National Change of Address (NCOA) search upon receiving the class address list from the Settling Parties. The Settlement Administrator will provide additional notice to Class Members via electronic means, including but not limited to e-mail to Class Members for whom Defendant reasonably can identify an e-mail address, and the creation of a website. The Settling Parties agree to cooperate in good faith to make reasonable efforts to locate class members for whom notice is returned as undeliverable. The Settlement Administrator will promptly log each Class Notice that is returned as undeliverable and provide copies of the log to

Case 3.10-CV-03330-3D Document 123-1 Filed 06/14/20 Fage 20 01 119
Class Counsel. The Lennar and Five Point Defendants agree to bear costs of notice to the
Settlement Class/claims administration, not to exceed \$50,000. This \$50,000 shall be in addition
to the Settlement Fund, but will be treated separately from the Settlement Fund. If the costs of
notice/claims administration are less than \$50,000, the balance will be refunded to the Lennar and
Five Point Defendants. If the costs of notice/claims administration are more than \$50,000, the
amount in excess of \$50,000 shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund and will not be the
responsibility of the Lennar and Five Point Defendants.
D. <u>Response to Notice</u>
1. Objection to Settlement
Any Settlement Class Member who intends to object to the fairness of the Settlement
Agreement must, by the date specified in the Preliminary Approval Order and recited in the Class
Notice, file any such objection with the Court.
Any objection to the Settlement Agreement must be individually and personally signed by
the Settlement Class Member submitting it (if the Settlement Class Member is represented by
counsel, the objection must also be signed by such counsel), and must include:
a. The objecting Settlement Class Member's full name, address, and email and
telephone number;
b. A written statement describing with particularity all grounds for the objection,
accompanied by any factual or legal support for the objection;
c. The common address of the unit the objector owns/owned in Parcel A and the
date of purchase, and date of subsequent sale (if any), and whose name is/was

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

3:18-CV-05330-JD

on the deed;

representing the objector; and

based;

d. Copies of any papers, briefs, or other documents upon which the objection is

e. The name, address, email address, and telephone number of any attorney(s)

1

3

56

7 8

9

1112

13 14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

f. A statement indicating whether the objector and/or his or her counsel intends to appear at the Fairness Hearing and, if so, a list of all persons, if any, who will be called to testify in support of the objection.

Any Settlement Class Member who does not file a timely written objection to the Settlement Agreement and notice of his or her intent/non-intent to appear at the Fairness Hearing, or who otherwise fails to comply with the requirements of this section shall be foreclosed from seeking any adjudication or review of the Settlement Agreement by appeal or otherwise.

2. Requests for Exclusion

Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class must submit a request for exclusion ("Request for Exclusion") to the Settlement Administrator at the address specified in the Class Notice by the date specified in the Preliminary Approval Order and recited in the Class Notice. To be effective, the Request for Exclusion must be sent via first-class U.S. mail to the specified address and:

- a. Include the Settlement Class Member's full name, address, and email and telephone number;
- b. State the common address of the unit the objector owns/owned in Parcel A and the date of purchase, and date of subsequent sale (if any), and the name of the person who is/was on the deed;
- c. Explicitly state his or her desire to be excluded from the Settlement Class in Pennington et al. v. Tetra Tech Inc., et al.; and
- d. Be signed by the Settlement Class Member.

Any Settlement Class Member who fails to submit a timely and complete Request for Exclusion sent to the proper address shall be subject to and bound by this Settlement Agreement and every order or judgment entered pursuant to this Settlement Agreement. Any purported Request for Exclusion or other communication sent to such address that is unclear or internally inconsistent with respect to the Settlement Class Member's desire to be excluded from the Settlement Class will be deemed invalid unless determined otherwise by the Court.

1 | 2 | fold 3 | condition 4 | Ext 5 | rect 6 | Click 7 | Click 8 | evidence 9 | about 10 | ult 11 | 12 | Lect 12 | Lect 13 | Lect 14 | Lect 15 |

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The Settlement Administrator will receive purported Requests for Exclusion and will follow guidelines developed jointly by Class Counsel and the Lennar and Five Point Defendants' counsel for determining in the first instance whether they meet the requirements of a Request for Exclusion. Any communications from Settlement Class Members (whether styled as an exclusion request, an objection, or a comment) as to which it is not readily apparent that the Settlement Class Member meant to exclude himself or herself from the Class will be evaluated jointly by Class Counsel and the Lennar and Five Point Defendants' counsel, who will make a good faith evaluation, if possible, of the Settlement Class Member's intentions. Any uncertainties remaining about whether a Settlement Class Member is requesting exclusion from the Settlement Class will ultimately be resolved by the Court.

The Settlement Administrator will maintain a list of all Requests for Exclusion. The Lennar and Five Point Defendants shall report the names and addresses of all such persons requesting exclusion to the Court and Class Counsel seven days prior to the Final Hearing, and the list of persons deemed by the Court to have excluded themselves from the Settlement Class will be attached as an exhibit to the Final Order and Judgment.

E. Expenses of Persons Objecting to the Settlement

Neither the Released Parties, nor the Settlement Class, shall be responsible for fees, costs, or expenses related to any Settlement Class Members who submit objections to the Settlement Agreement or related to any appeal by an objector arising from the Parcel A Action for attorneys' fees, costs, or expenses of any kind, unless ordered by a court.

F. Fairness Hearing and Good-Faith Settlement Determination

On the date set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, a Fairness Hearing will be held during which the Court will hear argument and consider: (1) whether to approve the Settlement Agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate; (2) whether to find, under California Code of Civil Procedure § 877 and 877.6, as applied in similar circumstances in federal court, that the settlement between the Settling Parties is in good faith; and (3) whether to approve Class Counsel's application for Attorney's Fees and Expenses. The Settling Parties will request that the

28

Court hold the Fairness Hearing approximately one month after the deadline for submitting objections and Requests for Exclusion.

G. Final Order and Judgment in the Parcel A Action

If this Settlement Agreement is finally approved by the Court, a Final Order and Final Judgment directing the entry of judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b) shall be entered in the Parcel A Action as follows:

- 1. Approving the Settlement Agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate as it applies to the Settlement Class;
- 2. Declaring that the Settlement Agreement was made in good faith between the Settling Parties, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 877 and 877.6;
- 3. Declaring the Settlement Agreement to be binding on the Releasing Parties and the Released Parties;
- 4. Dismissing with prejudice the Parcel A Action as to the Released Parties only;
- 5. Indicating the amount of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses to be awarded to Class Counsel and to be paid from the Settlement Fund; and
- 6. Providing that all Settlement Class Members be permanently enjoined from commencing or prosecuting any action, suit, proceeding, claim, or cause of action asserting the Released Claims in any court or before any tribunal.

H. Release of Settlement Class Members' Claims

Within fifteen (15) days of entry of the Final Order and Judgment, the Releasing Parties and their representatives, agents, heirs, guardians, executors, administrators, trustees, beneficiaries, successors, and assigns, as well as any other person or entity purporting to claim on their behalf, shall dismiss with prejudice all claims and causes of action against the Released Parties in the Parcel A Action. This Settlement Agreement does NOT release Tetra Tech, Inc. or Tetra Tech EC, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries, successors, assigns, or current or former employees, officers or directors.

Upon entry of the Final Order and Judgment, the Releasing Parties hereby release the Released Parties from and against any and all claims, causes of action, omissions, representations,

obligations, demands, injuries, damages, losses, diminution in value, liabilities, costs, expenses, and fees (including, without limitation, attorneys' fees, costs and expenses), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, alleged or unalleged, asserted or unasserted, including without limitation any and all representation or omission, property damage, diminution in property value, and personal injury claims, arising out of or in any way relating to the transactions or matters asserted or alleged, or which could have been asserted or alleged, accrued or unaccrued, in connection with the Parcel A Action.

Each of the Releasing Parties hereby waives any and all rights and benefits arising out of the facts alleged in the Parcel A Action by virtue of the provisions of California Civil Code § 1542, or any other provision in the law of the United States, or any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law or equity that is similar, comparable or equivalent to Civil Code § 1542, with respect to this release. The Releasing Parties are aware that Civil Code § 1542 provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

The Releasing Parties acknowledge that they may later discover facts in addition to or different from those that they now know or believe to be true concerning the subject matter of the Released Claims, but nevertheless fully, finally, and forever settle and release any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, which now exist, may later exist, or heretofore have existed regarding the subject matter of the Released Claims, without regard to subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts concerning each of the Released Parties.

VI. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

A. Governing Law

The interpretation and construction of this Settlement Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

B. <u>Effect of Exhibits</u>

The exhibits to this Settlement Agreement are an integral part of the Settlement and are expressly incorporated and made a part of this Settlement Agreement.

C. No Admission

This Settlement Agreement is for settlement purposes only. Neither the fact of, nor any provision contained in this Settlement Agreement, nor any action taken under it, shall constitute, or be construed as, any admission of the validity of any claim or any fact alleged in the Parcel A Action or of any wrongdoing, fault, violation of law, or liability of any kind on the part of the Released Parties or any admission by the Released Parties of any claim, assertion or allegation made in any action or proceeding against the Released Parties. This Settlement Agreement shall not be offered or be admissible in evidence against the Released Parties or the Releasing Parties, except in an action or proceeding brought to enforce its terms.

D. Arbitration Rights

If for any reason this Settlement Agreement fails to become final or effective, any certification of the Settlement Class shall be void and the Parties and the Parcel A Action will return to the status quo as it existed prior to seeking approval of the Settlement Agreement. No doctrine of waiver, estoppel or preclusion, based on this Settlement Agreement, will be asserted in opposition to any motion seeking to compel arbitration. No agreements, documents or statements made by or entered into by any Party in connection with this Settlement Agreement may be used by Named Plaintiffs, any Settlement Class Member, or any other person to establish any defense to arbitration, whether in the Parcel A Action or in any other proceeding.

Any future or subsequent claims or causes of action brought by Settlement Class Members concerning any "disclosure," or "environmental issues," including the question whether any such subsequent claim or cause of action falls within the scope of the Release, against the Lennar or

Five Point Defendants, are subject to arbitration pursuant to the Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions governing the properties purchased at Parcel A. Should this Settlement Agreement not become final, Plaintiffs reserve all arguments against enforcement of any arbitration agreement, and preserve all rights, if any, to make such arguments to the Court.

E. Limit on Exclusion Requests

The Released Parties have the option to withdraw from the settlement set forth in this

Settlement Agreement if the number of Settlement Class Members that elect exclusion from the

Settlement Class exceeds the number agreed upon in a separate, confidential Supplemental

Agreement regarding Requests for Exclusion. In the event of withdrawal from this Settlement

Agreement pursuant to the terms of the Supplemental Agreement, this Settlement Agreement

shall be cancelled and terminated and any class certification herein shall become void and have

no further force and effect, and, except as provided in section V.D. above, this Settlement

Agreement may not be used as an admission or as evidence concerning the appropriateness of

class certification, as a defense to arbitration, or for any other reason, in these or any other actions

against the Released Parties.

F. Good Faith Settlement Determination

The Settling Parties agree that a determination by the Court, under California Code of Civil Procedure § 877 and 877.6, that this settlement is made in good faith and therefore bars future contribution claims is a material and essential term and condition of this Settlement Agreement. If for any reason the Court refuses to make a determination that this Settlement Agreement was made in good faith pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 877 and 877.6, at the sole election of the Released Parties and upon written notice to Class Counsel from the Released Parties given within fourteen (14) days of any such refusal by the Court, this Settlement Agreement shall be rendered null and void and the Parcel A Action will return to the status quo as they existed prior to seeking approval of the Settlement Agreement.

G. No Assignment of Claims

The Releasing Parties hereby represent and warrant that they have not heretofore assigned, transferred, pledged, sold, or otherwise encumbered or conveyed to any person or entity any claim that is the subject of this Settlement Agreement or any part or portion thereof.

H. Entire Agreement

This Settlement Agreement represents the entire agreement and understanding among the Settling Parties and supersedes all prior proposals, negotiations, agreements, and understandings relating to the subject matter of this Settlement Agreement. The Settling Parties acknowledge, stipulate, and agree that no covenant, obligation, condition, representation, warranty, inducement, negotiation, or understanding concerning any part or all of the subject matter of this Settlement Agreement has been made or relied on except as expressly set forth in this Settlement Agreement. No modification or waiver of any provisions of this Settlement Agreement shall in any event be effective unless the same shall be in writing and signed by the person against whom enforcement of the Settlement Agreement is sought.

I. Counterparts

This Settlement Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original as against any party who has signed it, and all of which shall be deemed a single agreement.

J. Arm's-Length Negotiations

The Settling Parties have negotiated all of the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement at arm's length and with the assistance of experienced, neutral mediators from JAMS. All terms, conditions, and exhibits in their exact form are material and necessary to this Settlement Agreement and have been relied upon by the Settling Parties in entering into this Settlement Agreement. The Settling Parties have all participated in the drafting of this agreement and it is not to be construed in favor of or against any of the Settling Parties.

K. Dispute Resolution

Any dispute, challenge, question, or the like relating to this Settlement Agreement (other than those which this Settlement Agreement provides shall be resolved otherwise) shall be heard only by the Court.

L. <u>Continuing Jurisdiction</u>

The Court shall retain continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the parties to this Settlement Agreement, including all Settlement Class Members, for the purpose of the administration and enforcement of this Settlement Agreement.

M. Binding Effect of Settlement Agreement

This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Settling Parties, Settlement Class Members, and their representatives, heirs, successors, and assigns.

N. <u>Nullification</u>

In the event any one or more of the provisions contained in this Settlement Agreement shall for any reason be held to be invalid, illegal, or unenforceable in any respect, such invalidity, illegality, or unenforceability shall not affect other provisions only if the Released Parties and Class Counsel mutually elect to proceed as if such invalid, illegal, or unenforceable provision had never been included in this Settlement Agreement.

O. Extensions of Time

The Settling Parties may agree in writing upon a reasonable extension of time for deadlines and dates reflected in this Settlement Agreement, without further notice (subject to Court approval for any extensions of Court dates).

P. Service or Notice

Whenever, under the terms of this Settlement Agreement, a person is required to provide service or written notice to the Released Parties or Class Counsel, such service or notice shall be directed to the individuals and addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice to the other Settling Parties in writing.

1	For Plaintiffs:					
2	Anne Marie Murphy Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP					
3	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010					
4	Burmiganic, CA 74010					
5	For the Lennar and Five Point Defendants:					
6	David Marroso					
7	O'Melveny & Myers, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 8th Floor					
8	Los Angeles, CA 90067					
9	Jeffrey Dintzer					
10	Alston & Bird, LLP 333 S. Hope Street, Suite 1600					
11	Los Angeles, California 90071					
12	Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP shall promptly forward to Class Counsel and Plaintiff Counsel					
13	any service or written notice provided under this provision to Ms. Murphy. O'Melveny & Myers					
14	LLP and Alston & Bird, LLP shall promptly forward to Defense Counsel any service or written					
15	notice provided under this provision to Mr. Marroso and Mr. Dintzer.					
16	Q. Authority to Execute Settlement Agreement					
17 18	Each counsel or other person executing this Settlement Agreement or any of its exhibits					
19	on behalf of any party hereto warrants that such person has the authority to do so.					
20	R. Fees and Costs					
21	Except as otherwise provided in this agreement, each side shall bear its or their own fees					
22	and costs in connection with the Parcel A Action and this Settlement Agreement.					
23						
24	* * * * * *					
25						
26						
27						
28						
-	{ 00922712.DOC ; 1 } - 24 - SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT					

1	IN WITNESS HEREOF, the Settling Parties have caused this Settlement Agreement to be				
2	executed by their duly authorized representatives as of August 10, 2020.				
3					
4					
5	ON BEHALF OF THE LENNAR ANI	D FIVE POINT DEFENDANTS			
6					
7		JOAN MAYER			
8		LENNAR CORPORATION			
9					
10		SANDY GOLDBERG HPS1 BLOCK 50 LLC; HPS1 BLOCK 51 LLC;			
11		HPS1 BLOCK 53 LLC; HPS1 BLOCK 54 LLC; HPS1 Block 56/57 LLC; HPS DEVELOPMENT			
12		CO., LP			
13		MICHAEL ALVARADO			
14		FIVE POINT HOLDINGS, LLC			
15					
16		Emile Haddad			
17	ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS				
18	<u> </u>				
19		LINDA PARKER PENNINGTON			
20		LINDATARKER TENNINGTON			
21		GREG PENNINGTON			
22		THEODORE ELLINGTON			
23		THEODORE ELLINGTON			
24		VICTORIA TRUSTY			
2526		MICHAEL LIN			
20					
28		BRIAN TRACEY			
_0	{00922712.DOC; 1}	- 25 - SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT			
	\UU744/14.DUC; 1\	- 43 -			

Salustiano Ribeiro
CHRISTINE FARRELL
CHRISTINE FARRELL
RICHARD DE FRANCESCO
David Castano
SALLY SHI
BINGYING WANG
Gulnur Tumbat
GOENOR TOWNERT
Paloma Dudum-Maya
Melissa Dudum-Maya
CHRISTOPHE CROMBEZ
OLEKSANDR YEGOROV
John Wesley Darden, Jr.
JOHN WESLET DARDEN, JR.
JASON L. FRIED
THOMAS LUPTON
Elisa-Maria Torres
FUCHING CHI
IMIN LEE
IVIIN LEE
Shili Lee

- 26 -

{00922712.DOC; 1}

- 29 -

{00922712.DOC; 1}

1	CHRISTINE OMATA
2	
3	GABRIEL GAGNER
4	Gregory Coussa
5	
6	JACLYNN COUSSA (NÉE BALAS)
7	HEDA KOH
8	
9	STEVE KIM
10	Howard Yung
11	
12	CATHERINE CHU
13	Jun Ja Ha
14	
15	HYE SOOK UM
16	Kenneth Kim
17	
18	JUYOUNG KIM
19	Mathieu Stemmelen
20	WATHEOSTEWWELEN
21	Monica Padilla-Stemmelen
22	Mana - Canada
23	MICHAEL SPENCER
24	SALLIE SPENCER
25	Daving Innarra
26	Rahim Ibrahim
27	Judy Jen
28	
	CETTI EMENIT A CDEEMENIT

- 31 -

{00922712.DOC; 1}

	Case 3:18-cv-05330-JD	Document 123-1	Filed 08/14/20 P	age 44 of 119	
1					
2		F	RAMEZ NACHMAN		
3					
4		A	ALEXANDER DESCHA	MPS	
5		J	ESSIE DESCHAMPS		
6					
7					
8					
9					
10 11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
				CERRI EL CELTE	CDEEL (EL)